

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,974	07/28/2003	John Schrag	1500.1084	4092
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 11/25/200 LSEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700		AUGUSTINE, NICHOLAS		
1201 NEW YO WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. IN DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·····om··oro	1,002000	2179		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/627,974	SCHRAG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
NICHOLAS AUGUSTINE	2179	

	NICHOLAS AUGUSTINE	2179						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I	).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued at 70 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a</li> </ol>							
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	thin the time period set forth in 37 t	LFR 41.37(a).						
☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because     (a)    ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);     (b)    ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);     (c)    ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for the polication in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for the polication in the policatio								
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c  NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant faile e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	allowance					
See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	DTO/SB/08) Paper No/e)							
13. Other:	r Torobrooj r aper NO(s).							

/Ba Huynh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2179

Application No.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: After careful review of the amendments the rejection of 35 USC 101 will be withdrawn due the amendments filled 10,29 2008. Further the amendments made at an attempt to overcome the prior art does not appear to the Examiner to overcome the prior art. In regards to claims 1-16; the prior art teaches a control similar as detailed in the claim language in such that the user is able to interact with the system using a mouse (which is visually indicated with a cursor within the graphical user interface) from there the user is able to manipulate the control with the onstead in the cursor within the graphical user interface) from there the user is able to manipulate the control with the onstead in the user is an experience of the strength of